arXiv:q-alg/9710037v2 21 Apr 1998

LIE ALGEBRAS AND DEGENERATE AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS OF TYPE A

Tomoyuki Arakawa

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan

and

Takeshi Suzuki[†]

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Japan

Abstract. We construct a family of exact functors from the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-modules to the category of finite-dimensional representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra H_ℓ of GL_ℓ. These functors transform Verma modules to standard modules or zero, and simple modules to simple modules or zero. Any simple H_ℓ-module can be thus obtained.

Introduction

The classical Frobenius-Schur-Weil duality gives a remarkable correspondence between the category of finite-dimensional representations of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ and the category of finite-dimensional representations of the special (or general) linear group SL_n. Its generalizations have been studied in e.g. [5, 6, 12, 14, 20] where $\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ is replaced by other algebras, e.g. the Hecke algebras, the (degenerate) affine Hecke algebras or the double affine Hecke algebras, and SL_n is replaced by the corresponding quantum groups.

In this paper, we present a new direction in generalizing the classical duality. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ denote the BGG category of representations of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, and let $\mathcal{R}(H_\ell)$ denote the category of finite-dimensional representations of the degenerate (or graded) affine Hecke algebra H_ℓ of GL_ℓ. To each weight λ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ such that $\lambda+\rho$ is dominant integral (where ρ is the half sum of the positive roots), we associate a functor F_λ from $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ to $\mathcal{R}(H_\ell)$. When we take $\lambda = 0$ and restrict the functor F_0 to the category of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, we obtain the classical duality.

To be more precise, let $V_n = \mathbb{C}^n$ be the vector representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $M(\lambda)$ the highest weight Verma module with highest weight λ.

[†] Supported by JSPS the Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

For $X \in obj\,\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, we construct in §2.2 an action of H_ℓ on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell}$ commuting with the $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-action. This induces an H_ℓ-action on a certain subquotient $F_\lambda(X)$ (see (2.1.1)) of $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell}$. When $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant integral, $F_\lambda(X)$ is identified with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}(M(\lambda), X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell})$. (This space is an analogue of the space of the conformal blocks in the conformal field theory. See [1].)

Under the assumption that $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant integral, we prove that

(1) F_λ is exact,

(2) F_λ sends a Verma module to a "standard module" unless it is zero.

Here the standard module is an induced module from a certain one dimensional representation of a parabolic subalgebra of H_ℓ, and it has a unique simple quotient.

Moreover, in the case $n = \ell$, we prove that

(3) F_λ sends a simple module to a simple module unless it is zero.

We should remark that our proof of (3) relies on the formula (A.3.2) in Appendix. This formula is a consequence of the fact that the irreducible decompositions of the standard modules of H_ℓ ([13]) and those of the Verma modules ([2, 4]) are both described by the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

We also determine when the image of the functor is non-zero (Theorem 3.3.1, Theorem 3.4.1). Furthermore, it follows from Zelevinsky's results in [24] that any simple H_ℓ-module with "integral weights" is of the form $F_\lambda(L)$ for some weight λ such that $\lambda + \rho$ is dominant integral and some simple $\mathfrak{sl}_\ell$-module L (see Corollary 3.5.1 for the precise statement).

Acknowledgment. Our work is motivated by the joint research with A. Tsuchiya presented in [1]. We are grateful to him for discussions and his encouragement. We thank T. Tanisaki for drawing our attentions to [21, 22]. Thanks are also due to I. Cherednik and M. Kashiwara for valuable comments.

1. Basic Definitions

1.1. **Root data.** Let $\mathfrak{t}_n$ be an n-dimensional complex vector space with the basis $\{\epsilon_i^\vee \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ and the inner product defined by $(\epsilon_i^\vee | \epsilon_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_n^*$ be its dual and $\{\epsilon_i\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\epsilon_i^\vee\}$, which are orthonormal basis with respect to the induced inner product: $(\epsilon_i | \epsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$. The natural pairing between $\mathfrak{t}_n$ and $\mathfrak{t}_n^*$ will be denoted by $\langle\, , \rangle : \mathfrak{t}_n^* \times \mathfrak{t}_n \to \mathbb{C}$. Put $\mathfrak{h}_n^* = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{t}_n^* \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{t}_n / \mathbb{C}\epsilon^\vee$, where $\epsilon^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^\vee$, so that $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and $\mathfrak{h}_n^*$ are dual to each other. Define the roots and the simple roots by $\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ $(i \neq j)$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i\,i+1}$ respectively and put

$$R_n = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}, \tag{1.1.1}$$

$$R_n^+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \tag{1.1.2}$$

$$\Pi_n = \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}, \tag{1.1.3}$$

then $R_n \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ is a root system of type A_{n-1}. Define the coroots and the simple coroots by $\alpha_{ij}^\vee = \epsilon_i^\vee - \epsilon_j^\vee$ $(i \neq j)$ and $h_i = \alpha_{ii+1}^\vee$, respectively.

Let $W_n \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{t}_n^*)$ be the Weyl group associated to the above data, which is by definition generated by the reflections s_α $(\alpha \in R_n)$ defined by

$$s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*). \tag{1.1.4}$$

We often write $s_{\alpha_{ij}} = s_{ij}$. Observe that W_n preserves $\mathfrak{h}_n^* \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ and W_n is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$. We often use another action of W_n on $\mathfrak{h}_n^*$, which is given by

$$w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho \quad (w \in W_n,\ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*), \tag{1.1.5}$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha \in R_n^+} \alpha$. Put

$$Q_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_n^*, \quad Q_n^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i, \tag{1.1.6}$$

$$P_n = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^* \mid \langle \lambda, h_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}, \tag{1.1.7}$$

$$P_n^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^* \mid \langle \lambda, h_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}. \tag{1.1.8}$$

An element of P_n (resp. P_n^+) is called an integral (resp. dominant integral) weight.

1.2. **Lie algebras of type *A*.** Let $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ be the complex Lie algebra of type A_{n-1}. We introduce an invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ by $(x|y) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(xy)$, where $\mathbb{C}^n$ denotes the vector representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. We identify $\mathfrak{h}_n$ (introduced in the previous subsection) and the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ as inner product spaces. Let $\mathfrak{sl}_n = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_n \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ be the triangular decomposition with $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \oplus_{\alpha \in R_n^+} (\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{\pm\alpha}$, where $(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_\alpha$ denotes the root space corresponding to $\alpha \in R_n$. We choose a set of root vectors $\{e_\alpha \in (\mathfrak{sl}_n)_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R_n\}$ such that $(e_\alpha|e_{-\alpha}) = 1$ holds for all $\alpha \in R_n^+$. Let $\{h^i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n-1}$ be the dual basis of the coroots $\{h_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n-1}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_n$, so that $(h^i|h_j) = \delta_{ij}$. We define the special elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_n \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n$ by

$$r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h^i \otimes h_i + \sum_{\alpha \in R_n^+} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha}, \tag{1.2.1}$$

$$\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h^i \otimes h_i + \sum_{\alpha \in R_n^+} \left(e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + e_{-\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha\right), \tag{1.2.2}$$

which will be used later. For an $\mathfrak{h}_n$-module X and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$, put

$$X_\lambda = \{v \in X \mid hv = \langle \lambda, h\rangle v \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}_n\} \tag{1.2.3}$$

$$P(X) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^* \mid X_\lambda \neq 0\} \tag{1.2.4}$$

The space X_λ is called the weight space of weight λ and an element of $P(X)$ is called a weight of X.

1.3. **The BGG category $\mathcal{O}$.** Let $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ denote the category whose objects are those $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-modules X such that

(i) X is finitely generated over $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$,
(ii) X is $\mathfrak{n}_+$-locally finite i.e. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{n}_+)v < \infty$ for each $v \in X$,
(iii) $X = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*} X_\lambda$.

The morphisms of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ are, by definition, all the $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-homomorphisms. The category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is closed under the operations such as taking submodules, forming quotient modules, finite direct sums, and tensor products with finite-dimensional modules. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ let $M(\lambda)$ denote the highest weight Verma module with highest weight λ. The unique simple quotient of $M(\lambda)$ will be denoted by $L(\lambda)$. The modules $M(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ are objects of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.

Let $\chi_\lambda : Z(U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)) \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the infinitesimal character of $M(\lambda)$ (i.e. $zv = \chi_\lambda(z)v$ for all $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$ and $v \in M(\lambda)$). It is known that $\chi_\lambda = \chi_\mu$ if and only if $\lambda = w \circ \mu$ for some $w \in W_n$. Let $[\lambda]$ denote the orbit $W_n \circ \lambda$ and put $Z_\lambda = \mathrm{Ker}\chi_\lambda \subset Z(U(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$. Define the full subcategory $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{[\lambda]}$ of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ by

$$\mathit{obj}\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{[\lambda]} = \{X \in \mathit{obj}\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \mid (Z_\lambda)^k X = 0 \text{ for some } k\}.$$

Then any $X \in \mathit{obj}\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ admits a decomposition

$$X = \bigoplus_{[\lambda]} X^{[\lambda]} \tag{1.3.1}$$

such that $X^{[\lambda]} \in \mathit{obj}\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{[\lambda]}$. The correspondence $X \mapsto X^{[\lambda]}$ gives an exact functor on $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.

1.4. **$\mathfrak{n}$-homology of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-modules.** We proof some facts on the zero-th $\mathfrak{n}_-$-homology space

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X) = X/\mathfrak{n}_- X \tag{1.4.1}$$

of a $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-module X. The space $H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X)$ has a natural $\mathfrak{h}_n$-module structure, and it is known that

$$P(H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X)) \subseteq W_n \circ \mu, \tag{1.4.2}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{[\mu]}$ ($\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$). Hence we have

Lemma 1.4.1. *For any $X \in obj\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$, we have*

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X)_\lambda = H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X^{[\lambda]})_\lambda,$$

where $X^{[\lambda]}$ is defined by the decomposition (1.3.1).

Therefore we have the natural surjection

$$(X^{[\lambda]})_\lambda \to H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X)_\lambda. \tag{1.4.3}$$

Proposition 1.4.2. *Let $\lambda + \rho \in P_n^+$. Then the above map* (1.4.3) *is bijective.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.4.1, it is enough to show that $(\mathfrak{n}_- X)_\lambda = 0$ assuming $X \in obj\, \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_{[\lambda]}$. To show this, note that

$$P(X) \subseteq \{\lambda - \beta \mid \beta \in Q_n^+\}, \tag{1.4.4}$$

where we used the assumption $\lambda + \rho \in P_n^+$. Hence we have $P(\mathfrak{n}_- X) \subseteq \{\lambda - \beta \mid \beta \in Q_n^+ \backslash \{0\}\}$, which implies $\lambda \notin P(\mathfrak{n}_- X)$ as required. ☐

Remark 1.4.3. (i) There also exists a canonical bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}(M(\lambda), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X^{[\lambda]})_\lambda$$

if $\lambda + \rho \in P_n^+$.

(ii) Similar arguments prove that Proposition 1.4.2 holds for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ such that $\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ for all $\alpha \in R_n^+$. But we do not need this.

1.5. **Degenerate affine Hecke algebras.** Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)$ be the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$, which is isomorphic to the polynomial ring over $\mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$.

Definition 1.5.1. The *degenerate* (*graded*) *affine Hecke algebra H_ℓ* of GL_ℓ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ defined by the following properties:

(i) As a vector space, $H_\ell \cong \mathbb{C}[W_\ell] \otimes S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)$, where $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$ denotes the group algebra of W_ℓ.

(ii) The subspaces $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]\otimes\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}\otimes S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)$ are subalgebras of H_ℓ (their images will be identified with $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$ and $S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)$ respectively).

(iii) The following relations hold in H_ℓ:

$$s_\alpha\xi - s_\alpha(\xi)s_\alpha = -\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle \quad (\alpha\in\Pi_\ell,\ \xi\in\mathfrak{t}_\ell). \tag{1.5.1}$$

The following two lemmas are well known.

Lemma 1.5.2. *The center $Z(H_\ell)$ of H_ℓ is*

$$S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)^{W_\ell} := \{p\in S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)\subset H_\ell \mid w(p)=p \textit{ for all } w\in W_\ell\}.$$

Lemma 1.5.3. *There exists a unique algebra homomorphism* $\mathrm{ev}: H_\ell\to\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$ (*called the evaluation homomorphism*) *such that*

$$\mathrm{ev}(w)=w\ (w\in W_\ell),\quad \mathrm{ev}(\epsilon_i^\vee)=\sum_{1\le j<i} s_{ji}\ (i=1,\dots,\ell).$$

2. EXACT FUNCTOR F_λ

2.1. Let $V_n:=\mathbb{C}^n$ be the vector representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and let $u_i\in V_n$ $(i=1,\dots,n)$ be the vector with only non-zero entry 1 in the i-th component. Let ℓ be another positive integer. For $X\in obj\,\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, we regard $X\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell}$ as a $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-module. For $\lambda\in\mathfrak{h}_n^*$, we put

$$F_\lambda(X)=H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, X\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell})_\lambda. \tag{2.1.1}$$

Proposition 2.1.1. *Let $\lambda+\rho\in P_n^+$. Then F_λ is an exact functor from $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ to the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 1.4.2, because $(\cdot)\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell}$, $(\cdot)^{[\lambda]}$ and $(\cdot)_\lambda$ are all exact functors. □

Remark 2.1.2. The space $F_\lambda(X)$ for $\lambda\in P_n^+$ has been studied by Zelevinsky [23]. He proved that F_λ transforms the BGG resolution of a finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-modules to an exact sequence.

2.2. **H_ℓ-action.** We shall define an action of H_ℓ on $F_\lambda(X)$ as follows. For $i=0,1,\dots,\ell$, define $\pi_i:\mathfrak{sl}_n\to\mathfrak{sl}_n^{\otimes\ell+1}$ by $\pi_i(g)=1^{\otimes i}\otimes g\otimes 1^{\otimes\ell-i}$. We let $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$ act on $X\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell}$ naturally via permutations of components of $V_n^{\otimes\ell}$. The image of $w\in W_n$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(X\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell})$ will be denoted by the same symbol. Note that as operators on $X\otimes V_n^{\otimes\ell}$, the following equality holds:

$$s_{ij}=\Omega_{ij}+\frac{1}{n}\quad(1\le i\ne j\le\ell), \tag{2.2.1}$$

where $\Omega_{ij} = (\pi_i \otimes \pi_j)(\Omega)$ and Ω is as in (1.2.2). Consider the following operators on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell}$:

$$y_i = \Omega_{0i} + \sum_{1 \le j < i} \left(\Omega_{ji} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{n-1}{2} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, \ell). \tag{2.2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2.1. *As operators on $X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell}$, the following equations holds:*

$$[y_i, y_j] = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, \ell), \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$s_i y_j - y_{s_i(j)} s_i = -\langle \alpha_i, \epsilon_j^\vee \rangle \quad (i = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1, j = 1, \ldots, \ell). \tag{2.2.4}$$

Proof. The first equality (2.2.3) follows easily from the following relations:

$$[\Omega_{ij} + \Omega_{jk}, \Omega_{ik}] = 0, \quad [\Omega_{ij}, \Omega_{km}] = 0,$$

where $i, j, k, m \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ are all distinct. To show (2.2.4), recall the homomorphism ev in Lemma 1.5.3, with which the operator y_j can be written as

$$y_j = \Omega_{0j} + \mathrm{ev}(\epsilon_j^\vee) + \frac{n-1}{2}. \tag{2.2.5}$$

Now the equality (2.2.4) follows by using $s_i \Omega_{0j} = \Omega_{0\, s_i(j)} s_i$ $(i = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2.2. (i) (c.f. [9]). *There exists a unique homomorphism*

$$H_\ell \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}(X \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell})$$

such that

$$\epsilon_i^\vee \mapsto y_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, \ell),$$
$$w \mapsto w \quad (w \in W_\ell).$$

(ii) *The above homomorphism induces an action of H_ℓ on $F_\lambda(X)$.*

Evidently the correspondence $X \mapsto F_\lambda(X)$ defines a functor from the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ to the category $\mathcal{R}(H_\ell)$ of finite-dimensional H_ℓ-modules. Some remarks about this functor are in the sequel.

Remark 2.2.3. (i) The above construction of the functor F_λ arose from [1], in which representations of the *degenerate double affine Hecke algebra* are constructed from representations of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ using the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connections in the conformal field theory.

(ii) Let us consider the case $\lambda = 0$. Then for any $X \in$ *obj* $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, the action of H_ℓ on $F_0(X)$ factors the evaluation homomorphism in Lemma 1.5.3. Namely, F_0 is regarded as a functor from $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ to the category of finite-dimensional representations of W_ℓ. Restricting the functor F_0 to the category of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, we obtain the classical Frobenius-Schur-Weil duality (see [23]).

3. Images of Verma modules and simple modules

3.1. **Standard modules and their simple quotients.** We will determine explicitly how Verma modules and their simple quotients in $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ are transformed by the functor F_λ. We first introduce some H_ℓ-modules. A pair $[a, b]$ of complex numbers such that $b - a + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is called *a segment*. For a segment $[a, b]$ such that $b - a + 1 = \ell$, there exists a unique one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_{[a,b]} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}$ of H_ℓ (we put $H_0 = \mathbb{C}$ for convenience) such that

$$w\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]} = \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]} \quad (w \in W_\ell), \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$\epsilon_i^\vee \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]} = (a + i - 1)\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, \ell). \tag{3.1.2}$$

Let $\Delta := ([a_1, b_1], \ldots, [a_k, b_k])$ be an ordered sequence of segments such that $b_i - a_i + 1 = \ell_i$ and $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^k \ell_i$. Regard $H_{\ell_1} \otimes H_{\ell_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_k}$ as a subalgebra of H_ℓ. Define an H_ℓ-module $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}(\Delta) = H_\ell \underset{H_{\ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\ell_k}}{\otimes} (\mathbb{C}_{[a_1,b_1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{[a_k,b_k]}) \tag{3.1.3}$$

Evidently $\mathcal{M}(\Delta)$ is a cyclic module with a cyclic weight vector

$$\mathbf{1}_\Delta := \mathbf{1}_{[a_1,b_1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{[a_k,b_k]}, \tag{3.1.4}$$

whose weight ζ_Δ is given by

$$\langle \zeta_\Delta, \epsilon_j^\vee \rangle = a_i + j - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_k - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_k < j \leq \sum_{k=1}^{i} \ell_k. \tag{3.1.5}$$

It is also obvious that $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{C}[W_\ell/(W_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\ell_k})]$ as a $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$-module. In particular

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(\Delta) = \frac{\ell!}{\ell_1! \cdots \ell_k!}. \tag{3.1.6}$$

3.2. Take a pair of weights $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^* \subset \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ such that $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$. Then there exist integers $(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i$ and

$$\lambda - \mu \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \epsilon_i \mod \mathbb{C}\epsilon, \tag{3.2.1}$$

where $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$, we associate an ordered sequence of segments

$$\Delta_{\lambda,\mu} := (\,[\mu'_1, \mu'_1 + \ell_1 - 1], \ldots, [\mu'_n, \mu'_n + \ell_n - 1]\,), \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $\mu'_i = \langle \mu + \rho, \epsilon_i^\vee \rangle$. We put

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathcal{M}(\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}), \quad \mathbf{1}_{\lambda,\mu} = \mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}}, \tag{3.2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\Delta_{\lambda,\mu}}$ is as in (3.1.4). We call $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ a *standard module* if $\lambda + \rho \in P_n^+$. It is known that the standard module $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ has a unique simple quotient, which is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu)$ (see Theorem A.2.1).

3.3. **Images of Verma modules.**

Our goal in this subsection is the following.

Theorem 3.3.1. *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_n$, there is an isomorphism of H_ℓ-modules*

$$F_\lambda(M(\mu)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) & \text{if } \lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ is given by (3.2.3) *and* (3.1.3). *In particular, if $\lambda + \rho \in P_n^+$ and $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$, then $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$ has a unique simple quotient.*

To prove Theorem 3.3.1, we prepare some lemmas. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$, let v_μ denote the highest-weight vector of $M(\mu)$.

Lemma 3.3.2. *For $\lambda, \mu \in P_n$, the natural inclusion $(V_n^{\otimes \ell})_{\lambda - \mu} \hookrightarrow (M(\mu) \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell})_\lambda$ given by $u \mapsto v_\mu \otimes u$ induces an isomorphism as W_ℓ-modules*

$$(V_n^{\otimes \ell})_{\lambda - \mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_\lambda(M(\mu)). \tag{3.3.1}$$

In particular $F_\lambda(M(\mu)) = 0$ unless $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$.

Proof. The lemma follows from the following fact (known as the tensor product formula): For any $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ and any $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-module Y there exists a unique $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-isomorphism

$$M(\mu) \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h})}^{U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)} (\mathbb{C} v_\mu \otimes Y),$$

which sends $v_\mu \otimes u$ to $v_\mu \otimes u$ ($u \in Y$). □

Recall that $\{u_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ is the standard basis of V_n. Fix $\lambda, \mu \in P_n$ such that $\lambda - \mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$ and let $u_{\lambda,\mu} \in F_\lambda(M(\mu))$ be the image of

$$\tilde{u}_{\lambda,\mu} := v_\mu \otimes u_1^{\otimes \ell_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_\ell^{\otimes \ell_n} \in (M(\mu) \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell})_\lambda,$$

where ℓ_i are as in (3.2.1). Let $\zeta_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$ be the weight of $\mathbf{1}_{\lambda,\mu}$ with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{t}_\ell$:

$$\xi \mathbf{1}_{\lambda,\mu} = \langle \zeta_{\lambda,\mu}, \xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\lambda,\mu} \quad (\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell) \quad \text{(see (3.1.4) (3.1.5))}\ .$$

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let* $\bar{y}_i$ *denote the image of the operator* y_i *(see* (2.2.2)*) in* $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(F_\lambda(M(\mu))$*. Then we have*

$$\bar{y}_i u_{\lambda,\mu} = \langle \zeta_{\lambda,\mu}, \epsilon_i^\vee \rangle u_{\lambda,\mu} \quad (i = 1, \dots, \ell).$$

Proof. Let $p : (M(\mu) \otimes V_n^{\otimes \ell})_\lambda \to F_\lambda(M(\mu))$ be the natural surjection. Then it can be checked that $\bar{y}_i u_{\lambda,\mu} = p(v)$, where

$$v = \left[\sum_{1 \le j < i} \left(r_{ji} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) - \sum_{i < j \le \ell} \left(r_{ij} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) - \pi_i \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_k h^k + \sum_{\alpha \in R_n^+} e_{-\alpha} e_\alpha + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle \lambda + \mu, h^k \rangle \pi_i(h_k) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ell}{2n} \right] \tilde{u}_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

Here $r_{ij} = (\pi_i \otimes \pi_j)(r)$ (r is as in (1.2.1)), and we used $r_{ij} + r_{ji} = \Omega_{ij}$. Now the statement follows from the following formulas

$$\left(r + \frac{1}{2n} \right) (u_j \otimes u_k) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk} (u_j \otimes u_k) \quad \text{for } j \le k,$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_k h^k + \sum_{\alpha \in R_n^+} e_{-\alpha} e_\alpha + \frac{1}{2n} \right) u_j = -\frac{1}{2}(n - 2j + 1) u_j,$$

which are proved by direct calculations. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3.1. By Lemma 3.3.2, we have that

(i) $u_{\lambda,\mu}$ is a cyclic vector of $F_\lambda(M(\mu))$,

and obviously

(ii) $w u_{\lambda,\mu} = u_{\lambda,\mu}$ for all $w \in W_{\ell_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\ell_n}$.

By Lemma 3.3.3 and (i)(ii) above, we have a surjective H_ℓ-homomorphism $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu) \to F_\lambda(M(\mu))$ which sends $\mathbf{1}_{\lambda,\mu}$ to $u_{\lambda,\mu}$, and it is a bijection by Lemma 3.3.2.

3.4. **Images of simple modules.** Next let us suppose that $n = \ell$ and determine the images of simple modules.

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let* $\lambda + \rho \in P_\ell^+$ *and* $w \in W_\ell$ *be such that* $\lambda - w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$*. Then we have the following:*

(i) *If* w *satisfies*

$$\langle w \circ \lambda + \rho, h_i \rangle \le 0 \quad \text{for any } i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \text{ such that } \langle \lambda + \rho, h_i \rangle = 0, \tag{3.4.1}$$

then

$$F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda), \tag{3.4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu)$ *is a unique simple quotient of* $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \mu)$ *(see Theorem A.2.1).*

(ii) *If w does not satisfy the condition* (3.4.1)*, then*

$$F_\lambda(L(w\circ\lambda))=0. \tag{3.4.3}$$

Remark 3.4.2. For $\lambda\in P_\ell^+-\rho$, let $W_{\lambda+\rho}$ denote the stabilizer

$$W_{\lambda+\rho}=\{w\in W_\ell \mid w(\lambda+\rho)=\lambda+\rho\}, \tag{3.4.4}$$

which is a parabolic subgroup of W_ℓ. Let $w_{_L}$ and $w_{_{LR}}$ denote the unique longest element in the coset $W_{\lambda+\rho}w$ and $W_{\lambda+\rho}wW_{\lambda+\rho}$, respectively. Then the condition (3.4.1) is equivalent to

$$w\circ\lambda=w_{_L}\circ\lambda,\quad\text{or equivalently}\quad w\circ\lambda=w_{_{LR}}\circ\lambda. \tag{3.4.5}$$

Note that $w_{_L}\circ\lambda=w_{_{LR}}\circ\lambda$.

3.5. For $\zeta\in\mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, let

$$\gamma_\zeta : Z(H_\ell)=S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)^{W_\ell}\to\mathbb{C} \tag{3.5.1}$$

be the homomorphism given by the evaluation at ζ. The following is a consequence of Theorem 3.4.1 and the classification theorem of simple affine Hecke algebra modules (see Corollary A.2.4).

Corollary 3.5.1. *Let $\lambda+\rho\in P_\ell^+$. Then any finite-dimensional simple H_ℓ-module with the action of $Z(H_\ell)$ via $\gamma_{\lambda+\rho}$ is isomorphic to $F_\lambda(L(w_{_L}\circ\lambda))$ for some $w\in W_\ell$.*

Remark 3.5.2. For $c\in\mathbb{C}$, let t_c be the automorphism of H_ℓ given by

$$t_c(s_i)=s_i\ (i=1,\dots,\ell-1),\quad t_c(\epsilon_i^\vee)=\epsilon_i^\vee+c\ (i=1,\dots,\ell).$$

For an H_ℓ-module Y, let Y^c denote the H_ℓ-module given by the composition

$$H_\ell\xrightarrow{t_c}H_\ell\to\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(Y).$$

It is known that any simple H_ℓ-module is isomorphic to

$$H_\ell\underset{H_{\ell_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes H_{\ell_k}}{\otimes}\left(\mathcal{L}(\lambda^{(1)},\mu^{(1)})^{c_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathcal{L}(\lambda^{(k)},\mu^{(k)})^{c_k}\right),$$

for some $\{(\ell_i,\lambda^{(i)}.\mu^{(i)},c_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$. Here $(\ell_1,\dots,\ell_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is a partition of ℓ, c_i is a complex number, and $(\lambda^{(i)},\mu^{(i)})\in P_{\ell_i}\times P_{\ell_i}$ satisfying $\lambda^{(i)}+\rho\in P_{\ell_i}^+$ and $\lambda^{(i)}-\mu^{(i)}\in P(V_{\ell_i}^{\otimes\ell_i})$ (see [10]).

3.6. **Proof of Theorem 3.4.1.** For $w, y \in W_n$ such that $w \le y$ (where $\le$ denotes the Bruhat order in W_n), let $P_{w,y}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ denote the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial of the Hecke algebra associated to W_n (see [16, 17]). We put for convenience $P_{w,y}(q) = 0$ for $w \not\le y$.

The key formula in the following proof of Theorem 3.4.1 is the following formula (see Theorem A.1.1, Theorem A.3.1 and Corollary A.3.2):

$$[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] = P_{w_{LR}, y_{LR}}(1) = [M(w_L \circ \lambda) : L(y_L \circ \lambda)]. \tag{3.6.1}$$

Here $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\ell^*$ and $w, y \in W_\ell$ are assumed to satisfy $\lambda + \rho \in P_\ell^+$ and $\lambda - w \circ \lambda$, $\lambda - y \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$, and $[M : N]$ denotes the multiplicity of N in the composition series of M, and y_{LR} (resp. y_L) denotes the longest element in the coset $W_{\lambda+\rho} y W_{\lambda+\rho}$ (resp. $y W_{\lambda+\rho}$).

First we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6.1. *Let $\lambda + \rho \in P_\ell^+$ and $w \in W_\ell$ be such that $\lambda - w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$.*

(i) *If $w \in W_\ell$ satisfies the condition* (3.4.1), *then $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) \neq 0$.*

(ii) *If $w \in W_\ell$ does not satisfy the condition* (3.4.1), *then $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) = 0$*

Proof. We first prove (ii). Suppose that w does not satisfy the condition (3.4.1). Then we can find $s_i \in W_{\lambda+\rho}$ such that $\langle w \circ \lambda + \rho, h_i \rangle > 0$. This inequality means that $M(w \circ \lambda)$ contains $M(s_i w \circ \lambda)$ as a (proper) submodule, and hence $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda))$ is a quotient of $F_\lambda(M(w \circ \lambda)/M(s_i w \circ \lambda)) \cong \mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)/\mathcal{M}(\lambda, s_i w \circ \lambda)$. Since $s_i \in W_{\lambda+\rho}$, we have $\lambda - s_i w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$ and $\dim \mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) = \dim \mathcal{M}(\lambda, s_i w \circ \lambda)$ by (3.1.6), and thus we get $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) = 0$.

Let us prove (i). Assume that w satisfies the condition (3.4.1). Then by Remark 3.4.2, we can assume that w is the longest element in $W_{\lambda+\rho} w W_{\lambda+\rho}$. We can write in the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ as

$$M(w \circ \lambda) = L(w \circ \lambda) + \sum_{y > w} P_{w,y}(1) L(y \circ \lambda) \tag{3.6.2}$$

(see Theorem A.1.1), and the sum runs over those elements $y \in W_\ell$ such that y is longest in $y W_{\lambda+\rho}$ and $y > w$. Applying F_λ to (3.6.2), we have

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) = F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) + \sum_{y > w} P_{w,y}(1) F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda)) \tag{3.6.3}$$

in the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{R}(H_\ell)$.

Now, let us assume that $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) = 0$. Since $[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)] > 0$, there must be a summand $F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda))$ in the right hand side of (3.6.3) such that

$$[F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda)) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)] > 0. \tag{3.6.4}$$

Since $F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda))$ is a non-zero quotient of $F_\lambda(M(y \circ \lambda))$, we have

$$[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)] \geq [F_\lambda(L(y \circ \mu)) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)] > 0. \tag{3.6.5}$$

On the other hand, (3.6.1) implies

$$[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)] = P_{y_{LR}, w_{LR}}(1) = 0$$

since $l(y_{LR}) \geq l(y) > l(w) = l(w_{LR})$. This contradicts (3.6.5) and shows that $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) \neq 0$. □

Let us complete the proof of Theorem 3.4.1. Assume that $w \in W_\ell$ satisfies $\lambda - w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$ and w is the longest element in $W_{\lambda+\rho} w W_{\lambda+\rho}$ (i.e. $w = w_{LR}$). We suppose that $F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda))$ has a constituent (a simple subquotient) other than $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)$, and will deduce a contradiction. Such a constituent is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)$ for some $y = y_{LR} \in W_\ell$ such that $\lambda - y \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$ (see Corollary A.2.4):

$$[F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda)) : L(\lambda, y \circ \lambda))] \geq 1. \tag{3.6.6}$$

Since $F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda))$ is a non-zero quotient of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)$ by Lemma 3.6.1, we have

$$[F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda)) : L(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] \geq 1. \tag{3.6.7}$$

Combining (3.6.3) and inequalities (3.6.6) (3.6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] \\
&\geq [F_\lambda(L(w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] + P_{w,y}(1)[F_\lambda(L(y \circ \lambda)) : L(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] \\
&\geq 1 + P_{w,y}(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.6.1) since $y = y_{LR}$ and $w = w_{LR}$. □

Appendix A. Some facts from representation theory

We will review some facts used in this paper.

A.1. Composition series of Verma modules of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

For $w, y \in W_n$ such that $w \leq y$, let $P_{w,y}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ denote the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial of the Hecke algebra associated to W_n. We put for convenience $P_{w,y}(q) = 0$ for $w \not\leq y$. Let $W_{\lambda+\rho} := \{w \in W_n \mid w(\lambda + \rho) = \lambda + \rho\}$ be the stabilizer.

Theorem A.1.1. **([2, 4]).** *Let $\lambda+\rho \in P_n^+$ and $w \in W_n$. Then any composition factor of $M(w \circ \lambda)$ is isomorphic to $L(y \circ \lambda)$ for some $y \in W_n$, and its multiplicity is given by*

$$[M(w \circ \lambda) : L(y \circ \lambda)] = P_{w,y_R}(1) \tag{A.1.1}$$

where y_R is the longest element in the right coset $yW_{\lambda+\rho}$.

A.2. **Finite-dimensional representations of H_ℓ.** We review a classification of finite-dimensional simple H_ℓ-modules following [24] in terms of our parameterizations. (Note that the representation theory of H_ℓ is related to that of the corresponding affine Hecke algebra by Lusztig [18].)

Theorem A.2.1. ([24, Theorem 6.1-(a)], see also [19, Theorem 5.2]) *Suppose that $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ satisfy $\lambda+\rho \in P_n^+$ and $\lambda-\mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$. Then $\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\mu)$ has a unique simple quotient, which is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\lambda,\mu)$.*

Lemma A.2.2. ([24, Theorem 6.1-(b)]) *Suppose that $\lambda+\rho \in P_n^+$ and $\mu, \eta \in P_n$ satisfy $\lambda-\mu \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$, $\lambda-\eta \in P(V_n^{\otimes \ell})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\mu) \cong \mathcal{M}(\lambda,\eta)$.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{L}(\lambda,\mu) \cong \mathcal{L}(\lambda,\eta)$.*
(iii) *There exists $w \in W_{\lambda+\rho}$ such that $\eta = w \circ \mu$.*

Let us restrict ourselves to the case $n=\ell$. In this case, the module

$$\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\lambda) = H_\ell \underset{S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda+\rho},$$

is isomorphic to the regular representation as a $\mathbb{C}[W_\ell]$-module, where $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda+\rho}$ is a one-dimensional $S(\mathfrak{t}_\ell)$-module determined by the weight $\lambda+\rho \in \mathfrak{h}_\ell^* \subset \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$. This module is called the principal series representation and studied e.g. in [8, 15, 19].

Theorem A.2.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_\ell^*$ be such that $\lambda+\rho \in P_\ell^+ \subset \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$.*

(i) ([8, 19]) *Any finite-dimensional simple module with action of $Z(H_\ell)$ via $\gamma_{\lambda+\rho}$ (where $\gamma_{\lambda+\rho}$ is as in* (3.5.1)*) is a constituent of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\lambda)$.*

(ii) ([24, Theorem 6.1-(c), Theorem 7.1]) *For $w \in W_\ell$ such that $\lambda - w\circ\lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$, the module $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, w\circ\lambda)$ is a constituent of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda,\lambda)$, and any constituent is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, w\circ\lambda)$ for some $w \in W_\ell$ such that $\lambda - w\circ\lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$.*

Put

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) := \{w \in W_\ell \mid \lambda - w\circ\lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})\} \subseteq W_\ell$$

and let $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ denote the image of $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ in the double coset $W_{\lambda+\rho}\backslash W_\ell / W_{\lambda+\rho}$.

Corollary A.2.4. *Let* $\lambda + \rho \in P_\ell^+$. *Then there exists a one to one correspondence between* $\overline{\mathcal{S}(\lambda)}$ *and the set of equivalent classes of finite-dimensional simple* H_ℓ*-modules with the action of* $Z(H_\ell)$ *via* $\gamma_{\lambda+\rho}$, *which is given by* $W_{\lambda+\rho} w W_{\lambda+\rho} \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda)$.

A.3. **Multiplicity formulas.** Let us recall the multiplicity formula for (degenerate) affine Hecke algebras of GL_ℓ. Zelevinsky conjectured in [21] that the multiplicity of simple modules in the composition series of standard modules is given in terms of the intersection cohomologies concerning the quiver variety. He proved in [22] that these intersection cohomologies are expressed by the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of the symmetric group. Zelevinsky's conjecture was proved by Ginzburg[13] (see also [11]) in more general situations. The result is rephrased as follows:

Theorem A.3.1 ([13])**.** *Suppose that* $\lambda+\rho \in P_\ell^+$ *and* $y, w \in W_\ell$ *satisfy* $\lambda - w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$ *and* $\lambda - y \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$. *Then*

$$[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] = P_{w_{LR}, y_{LR}}(1), \tag{A.3.1}$$

where w_{LR} *and* y_{LR} *denote the longest elements in the double coset* $W_{\lambda+\rho} w W_{\lambda+\rho}$ *and* $W_{\lambda+\rho} y W_{\lambda+\rho}$ *respectively.*

Combining Theorem A.1.1 and Theorem A.3.1 we have the following identity.

Corollary A.3.2. *Assume that* $\lambda + \rho \in P_\ell^+$ *and that* $w, y \in W_\ell$ *satisfy* $\lambda - w \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$ *and* $\lambda - y \circ \lambda \in P(V_\ell^{\otimes \ell})$. *Then we have*

$$[\mathcal{M}(\lambda, w \circ \lambda) : \mathcal{L}(\lambda, y \circ \lambda)] = [M(w_L \circ \lambda) : L(y_L \circ \lambda)], \tag{A.3.2}$$

where w_L *and* y_L *denote the longest elements in the left coset* $W_{\lambda+\rho} w$ *and* $W_{\lambda+\rho} y$ *respectively.*

REFERENCES

[1] T. Arakawa, T. Suzuki and A. Tsuchiya *Degenerate double affine Hecke algebras and conformal field theory*, to appear in *Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics* ; the proceedings of the 38^{th} Taniguchi symposium, Kyoto, Birkhäuser

[2] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein [I. N. Bernstein], *Localisation de* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **21** (1987), 152-154.

[3] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gel'fand and S. I. Gel'fand, *Structure of representations generated by vectors of highest weight*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **5** (1971), 1-8.

[4] J. L. Brylinski and, M. Kashiwara, *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture and holonomic systems*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 387-410.

[5] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, Pac. Jour. Math., **174**, No.2 (1996), 295-326.

[6] I. V. Cherednik, *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin basis*, Duke. Math. **54**, (1987), 563-578.

[7] I. V. Cherednik, *An analogue of the character formulas for Hecke algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **21**, No 2 (1987), 94-95.

[8] I. V. Cherednik, *A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 411-431.

[9] I. V. Cherednik, *Monodromy representations for generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Hecke algebras*, Publ. RIMS. **27**, (1991), 711-726.

[10] I. V. Cherednik, *Special bases of irreducible representations of a degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20**, No 1, (1986), 76-78.

[11] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, (1997).

[12] V. G. Drinfeld, *Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20**, No 1 (1986), 58-60.

[13] V. A. Ginzburg, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture*, Soviet. Math. Dokl. **35**, No 2 (1987), 304-308.

[14] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of* $U(gl(N+1))$*, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys., **11** (1986), 247-252.

[15] S. Kato *Irreducibility of principal series representations for Hecke algebras of affine type*, J. Fac. Sci. U. of Tokyo, Sec. 1A, **28**, no.3, (1982), 929-943

[16] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representation of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165-184.

[17] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincare duality*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **36** (1980), 185-203.

[18] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **2**, No 3 (1989), 599-635.

[19] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 443-465.

[20] M. Varagnoro and E. Vasserot, *Schur duality in the troidal setting*, Comm. Math. Phys., **182**, No.2 (1996), 469-483.

[21] A. Z. Zelevinsky, *p-adic analogue of the Kazhdan-Lusztig Hypothesis*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **15**, No 2 (1981), 83-92.

[22] A. Z. Zelevinsky, *Two remarks on graded nilpotent classes*, Russ. Math. Surveys, **40**, No 1 (1985), 249-250.

[23] A. Z. Zelevinsky, *Resolvents, dual pairs and character formulas*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **21** (1987), 152-154.

[24] A. Z. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 4^e Serie **13** (1980), 165-210.